

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,641	JAGGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Rbert C. Scheibel.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul B. Stephens (Reg. No. 47,970).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2007

Time: 4:00 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 7, 11-16, 19, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Robert C. Scheibel
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

8-5-07

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that the word adapted be removed from claims 6, 7, 19, and 20 to expedite prosecution and avoid an objection to these claims. Examiner also indicated that the withdrawn claims needed to be formally cancelled to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to these changes which have been made in the attached Examiner's Amendment.